117

Biology of the sauropod dinosaurs: the evolution of gigantism

P. Martin Sander¹, Andreas Christian², Marcus Clauss³, Regina Fechner⁴, Carole T. Gee¹, Eva-Maria Griebeler⁵, Hanns-Christian Gunga⁶, Jürgen Hummel⁷, Heinrich Mallison⁸, Steven F. Perry⁹, Holger Preuschoft¹⁰, Oliver W. M. Rauhut⁴, Kristian Remes^{1,4}, Thomas Tütken¹¹, Oliver Wings⁸ and Ulrich Witzel¹²

¹ Steinmann Institute, Division of Palaeontology, University of Bonn, Nussallee 8, 53115 Bonn, Germany

² Institut für Biologie und Sachunterricht und ihre Didaktik, University of Flensburg, Auf dem Campus 1, 24943 Flensburg, Germany

³ Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstr. 260, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

⁴ Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, University of Munich, Richard-Wagner-Strasse 10, 80333 Munich, Germany

⁵ Institut für Zoologie, Abteilung Ökologie, University of Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher Weg 13, 55128 Mainz, Germany

⁶ Zentrum für Weltraummedizin Berlin, Institut für Physiologie, Charite-University of Berlin, Arnimallee 22, 14195 Berlin, Germany

⁷ Institut für Tierwissenschaften, University of Bonn, Endenicher Allee 15, 53115 Bonn, Germany

⁸ Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz-Institut für Evolutions- und Biodiversitätsforschung an der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Invalidenstrasse 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany

⁹ Institut für Zoologie, Morphologie und Systematik, University of Bonn, Poppelsdorfer Schloss, 53115 Bonn, Germany

¹⁰ Institut für Anatomie, Abteilung für Funktionelle Morphologie, University of Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany

¹¹ Steinmann Institute, Division of Mineralogy, University of Bonn, Poppelsdorfer Schloss, 53115 Bonn, Germany

¹² Institut für Konstruktionstechnik, Fakultät für Maschinenbau, University of Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany

(Received 9 September 2009; revised 13 March 2010; accepted 16 March 2010)

ABSTRACT

The herbivorous sauropod dinosaurs of the Jurassic and Cretaceous periods were the largest terrestrial animals ever, surpassing the largest herbivorous mammals by an order of magnitude in body mass. Several evolutionary lineages among Sauropoda produced giants with body masses in excess of 50 metric tonnes by conservative estimates. With body mass increase driven by the selective advantages of large body size, animal lineages will increase in body size until they reach the limit determined by the interplay of bauplan, biology, and resource availability. There is no evidence, however, that resource availability and global physicochemical parameters were different enough in the Mesozoic to have led to sauropod gigantism.

We review the biology of sauropod dinosaurs in detail and posit that sauropod gigantism was made possible by a specific combination of plesiomorphic characters (phylogenetic heritage) and evolutionary innovations at different levels which triggered a remarkable evolutionary cascade. Of these key innovations, the most important probably was the very long neck, the most conspicuous feature of the sauropod bauplan. Compared to other herbivores, the long neck allowed more efficient food uptake than in other large herbivores by covering a much larger feeding envelope and making food accessible that was out of the reach of other herbivores. Sauropods thus must have been able to take up more energy from their environment than other herbivores.

The long neck, in turn, could only evolve because of the small head and the extensive pneumatization of the sauropod axial skeleton, lightening the neck. The small head was possible because food was ingested without mastication. Both mastication and a gastric mill would have limited food uptake rate. Scaling relationships between gastrointestinal tract size and basal metabolic rate (BMR) suggest that sauropods compensated for the lack of particle reduction with long retention times, even at high uptake rates.

* Address for correspondence: E-mail: martin.sander@uni-bonn.de

Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Terms and Conditions set out at http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/onlineopen# OnlineOpen_Terms

Biological Reviews 86 (2011) 117-155 © 2010 The Authors. Biological Reviews © 2010 Cambridge Philosophical Society